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**The Doctoral School of UTCN**

**ANTI-PLAGIARISM REPORT**

For the information of: CSUD of IOSUD-UTCN

I, the undersigned, Prof. ..........................................., PhD, Eng., the appointed expert of the Doctoral School Council, have conducted the anti-plagiarism analysis of the thesis:“……………………………………………….………………………………………………………..……...............................................................................................................................................”, written by …………………………………………., PhD supervisor Prof./Assoc. Prof.…………………………………….., PhD, Eng..The thesis in electronic format has ........ pages, ................. figures, ........... Tables, ........................ bibliography references, ....................... chapters and was submitted at the Doctoral School together with the **D1** document no. …..…/………..….…… in the view of the pre-defence. The anti-plagiarism analysis was carried out using the Turnitin software, put at the disposal of the Doctoral School by UTCN, and the following programs: ................................................................................................................................................................. .

The following aspects were noted:

1. The originality report showed that the general similarity index, evaluated on a number of .......... found sources is of .......%, a value considered acceptable for PhD theses in the domain: ..................................................................
2. The distribution of the similarity percent broken down on sources is of ......... %- internet source, …..…..%- publications, ……...%- papers written by students, …….…%- other sources;
3. I have discussed with the PhD supervisor the summary report (attached) provided by the program and I analysed the similarities from the thesis in relation to the sources;

**Resolution:**

1. The analysis of the results given by the program led to the conclusion that the thesis does not contain / contains elements to justify the plagiarism suspicion and as consequence, the thesis can / cannot be submitted for evaluation to the guidance committee (the pre-defence phase);
2. Other findings and proposed measures (as applicable): ………..………..……………….................................………………………………………………………………..………..……………….................................………………………………………………………

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Appointed expert for the anti-plagiarism analysis  Prof. .................................., PhD, Eng | PhD supervisor  Prof. / Assoc. Prof. ..................................., PhD, Eng. |