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**REPORT**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Concluded at the defence of the **scientific research project (scientific progress) no.** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, by the PhD student \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Eng., enrolled for doctoral studies on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (date), domain \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD supervisor Prof. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

The title of the scientific research report defended:

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |

The guidance committee has the following composition:

PhD. supervisor: 1.Prof.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

Members: 2.Prof.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

 3.Prof.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

 4.Prof.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

Questions or observations regarding **the scientific research report (scientific progress):**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Rating granted:

PhD. supervisor:1.(passed/rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Members: 2.(passed/rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 3.(passed/rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 4.(passed/rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(signature)

After examining the **scientific research project (scientific progress)**, the PhD student passed / was rejected.

 PhD supervisor,

 Prof.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

 (signature)