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**REPORT**

Concluded today, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, on the occasion of the analysis and examination of the scientific research project of the PhD student \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_,

PhD supervisor: Prof. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

The guidance committee has the following composition:

PhD supervisor: 1.Prof. .............................., PhD, Eng.

Members: 2.Prof. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

 3.Prof. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

 4.Prof. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

The theme of the scientific research project to be analysed (the theme of the PhD thesis):

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
| Questions, observations regarding the discussions about the theme: |
|  |
|  |

As a result of the scientific research project evaluation, the guidance and examination committee grants the rating:

**PhD supervisor:** 1. (accepted/rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Members: 2. (accepted /rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 3. (accepted /rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 4. (accepted /rejected) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 (signature)

The scientific research is accepted / rejected, rating which allows / does not allow the promotion of the student to the scientific research programme.

PhD supervisor,

 Prof. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, PhD, Eng.

(signature)